



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

lh

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/790,812

03/03/2004

Tsung-Hsi Yu

3167-181

1487

7590

12/14/2005

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC

5205 Leesburg Pike,

Suite 1404

Falls Church, VA 22041

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/790,812

Applicant(s)

YU, TSUNG-HSI

Examiner

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 4-16 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment dated 9/28/2005.
2. Claims 2 & 3 are canceled; claims 17-22 are newly added. Accordingly, claims 1 & 4-22 are pending for the examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 20 & 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (admission) in view of Yarita et al U.S. Patent No. 6,411,353.

Regarding claims 17 & 21, Admission discloses a liquid crystal display comprising: a liquid crystal display panel (300); a plastic frame (200), supporting said liquid crystal display panel; a metal cover (100), boxing said plastic frame therein and forming an interior space to accommodate said liquid crystal display panel; a print circuit board (400), fixed on a lower surface of said plastic frame and connecting to said liquid crystal display panel by a flexible flat cable (410) extending along a side wall of said plastic frame, having a grounding pin (430) extends from an edge of said print board to the outside, [see figs. 1 & 2].

Admission lacks disclosure of a conductive film, formed on a the grounding pin of said print circuit board, wherein the grounding pin is formed on a lower surface of

Art Unit: 2871

printed circuit board, and another sidewall of said metal cover for transmitting segregated charges on said print circuit through said metal cover to environment.

Yarita et al discloses a conductive film (MTP), formed on a grounding pin (GNDPT) of the print circuit board (FPC2), wherein the grounding pin is formed on a lower surface of said print circuit board, and another sidewall of said metal cover (SHD), [fig. 1], for the benefit of being easy to electrically connect the grounding pattern of a flexible circuit board to the upper frame even if the width of the flexible circuit board becomes narrower along with the reduction of the frame border area, [col. 5, lines 10-15]. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have a conductive film formed on a grounding pin of said print circuit board and another sidewall of said metal cover for the benefit of being easy to electrically connect the grounding pattern of a flexible circuit board to the upper frame even if the width of the flexible circuit board becomes narrower along with the reduction of the frame border area.

Regarding claim 20, Admission discloses wherein said two grounding pins (430) are formed at opposite edges of said print circuit board (400) without connecting flexible flat cables (410), [see fig. 2].

Claims 18 & 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (admission) in view of Yarita et al as discussed above and further in view of Levanon U.S. Patent No. 6,855,441.

Regarding claims 18 & 19, Admission lacks disclosure of the conductive film is taped on the grounding pin and metal cover by gluing; the conductive film is a

Art Unit: 2871

conductive tape with both surfaces gluey, in which one surface of said conductive tape is taped on the grounding pin of said print circuit board and the sidewall of said metal cover and the other surface is used to glue a passivation film on a lower surface of said print circuit board to form an electric shielding upon devices on said print circuit board.

It was conventional at the time to use conductive tape with the surface(s) gluey to glue element(s) together as an intended use, as evidenced by Levanon, [fig. 3b, element '42'], and therefore does not patentably distinguish the invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 7 & 9 are withdrawn in view of Admission. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s).

2. Claims 1 & 4-16 are allowed.

Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of prior art taught or disclosed:

Claims 1, 4-10: a liquid crystal display comprising: a print circuit board assembled in a liquid crystal display, fixed on a lower surface of plastic frame and connecting to said liquid crystal display panel by a flexible flat cable extending along a sidewall of said plastic frame; a passivation film, taped on a lower surface of print circuit board as an electric shielding and extending further to cover the flexible flat cable.

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 11-16 & 22: a print circuit board assembled in a liquid crystal display comprising: a plurality of flexible flat cables, extending from an edge of the print circuit board to a liquid crystal display panel; a grounding pin formed on the print circuit board; a passivation film, covering an exposed surface of the print circuit board as an electric shielding; and a conductive film, taped on both said grounding pin and a metal cover of the liquid crystal display to transport segregated charges on the print circuit board to environment, and taped around the print circuit board to fix said passivation film.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2871

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

-- December 7, 2005 --

TN

Andrew Schechter
ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER